I. NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. The United States brings this action to recover treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-33 and to recover all available damages and other monetary relief under the common law or equitable theories of unjust enrichment, payment under mistake of fact, recoupment of overpayments and common law fraud.
- 2. These claims are based upon defendants' false claims and false statements made in and pursuant to certain reports, meetings, decision-making procedures, documentation, analyses of various types that resulted in accumulation of thousands of pages of text, reports, illustrations and graphic displays for which defendants received significant monetary compensation, all the while having overt and direct knowledge; and/or covert and indirect knowledge, or should have had such knowledge, that all such documentation was either false, fraudulent, a sham and/or designed to obfuscate the true and correct state of affairs that existed and that was hidden, as hereinafter articulated in detail..

 Recommendations and other contacts made with certain specified and otherwise duly empowered personnel and employees of that certain branch, agency or instrumentality of the United States Department of Commerce known as the National Institute of Standards and Technology (hereinafter generally referred to as NIST) were misled by the defendants' fraudulent acts and/or omissions.
- 3. Under and pursuant to the National Construction Safety Team (NCST) Act, 15
 USCS @ 7301, signed into law in October 2002, NIST was duly authorized to investigate
 so-called building failures in the United States. The investigation of the destruction of

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States demands and prays that judgment be entered in favor of it as follows:

- 1. On the First, Second, and Third, Causes of Action under the False Claims Act, against all defendants, for the amount of the United States' damages, multiplied as required by law, and such civil penalties as are required by law, together with all such further relief as may be just and proper.
- 2. On the Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Causes of Action, for unjust enrichment, payment by mistake, and common law recoupment against all defendants, for the damages sustained and/or amounts by which defendants were unjustly enriched or by which defendants retained illegally obtained monies, plus interest, costs, and expenses, for an accounting of such monies and such further relief as may be just and proper.
- 3. On the Seventh Cause of Action, for common law fraud against all defendants, for compensatory and punitive damages, together with costs and interest, and for such further relief as may be just and proper.

other services that would have enabled NIST to carryout its statutory mandate of "determining what caused the destruction of WTC1,2."

- 5. Instead, however, defendants knowingly concealed, or failed to disclose, or caused others to fail to disclose material information in several reports filed in the public domain along with NCSTAR 1, and that comprise various sub-parts of NCSTAR 1, known as NCSTAR 1-1 through and including NCSTAR 1-8 and various subparts under each of the said NCSTAR 1-1 through and including NCSTAR 1-8 that, in the aggregate, constitute several thousand pages of text, documentation, graphical displays, simulations, charts and other documentation, all of which purported to satisfy, but intentionally did not satisfy, the mandate that NIST had, which was that of determining what cause the destruction of WTC1,2. Instead, all such documentation serves solely to mislead, obfuscate and provide a vehicle for the intended fraud; namely, that of steering NIST away from a consideration of what caused the destruction of WTC1,2; which, as elsewhere elaborated upon, was the use on 9/11/01 of exotic weaponry known as directed energy weapons.
- 6. Indeed, NIST, at pg. xxxv-vi of NCSTAR 1 openly admits that its mandate was to "determine why and how WTC1 and WTC2 collapsed following the initial impacts of the aircraft and why and how WTC 7 collapsed". But, shortly thereafter and at pg. xxxvii of NCSTAR 1, NIST acknowledges that it did not "determine why and how WTC1 and WTC2 collapsed following the initial impacts of the aircraft and why and how WTC 7 collapsed" but, instead, NIST openly narrowed and limited its stated mandate of NCSTAR 1 at the behest and based upon the fraud perpetrated by defendants resulting in the inexplicable narrowing of NCSTAR 1's mandate as follows: "'[T]he focus of the

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Payment by Mistake) (All Defendants)

- 74. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation in $\P 1$ through 60, as if fully set forth herein.
- 75. This a claim for the recovery of monies paid by the Untied States to the defendants by mistake.
- 76. The United States, acting in reasonable reliance on the accuracy and truthfulness of the information contained in the cost reports submitted by defendants, paid the NIST participant defendants certain sums of money to which they were not entitled, and defendants are thus liable to account and pay such amounts, which are to be determined at trial, to the United States.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Recoupment of Overpayments)
(All Defendants)

- 77. Plaintiff United States repeats and realleges each allegation in ¶¶ 1 through 60, as if fully set forth herein.
- 78. This is a claim for common law recoupment, for the recovery of monies unlawfully paid by the United States to the NIST participants defendants contrary to statute or regulation.
- 79. The United States paid the NIST participants defendants certain sums of money to which they were not entitled. Defendants are thus liable under the common law of recoupment to account and return such amounts, which are to be determined at trial, to the United States.

incongruent with the facts at hand; in other words: fraudulent.

- 9. Although the March 16 RFC is a comprehensive document detailing exactly how, in what manner and for what reasons NCSTAR 1 is fraudulent, and should therefore be read in its entirety in conjunction with the claims of fraud made herein, it can be said that in the main, NCSTAR 1 is fraudulent because it intentionally conceals the fact that the buildings known as the Twin Towers of the World Trade Center Complex, World Trade Center 1 and World Trade Center 2 were destroyed based, in whole or in substantial part, upon the use of Directed Energy Weapons (hereinafter generally referred to as DEW).
- 10. Upon information and belief, DEW are and remain highly classified, secret instrumentalities of the military apparatus of the Armed Forces of the United States of America. Some of the defendants are known manufacturers, developers, implementers, testers, and researchers or are otherwise participants in either the development of DEW or the concealment of their true nature and capacities from the general public. Much of the secrecy apparatus under which DEW are developed and were employed on September 11, 2001 (hereinafter generally referred to as 9/11/01) may, in fact, fall outside the purview of the normal, legally mandated decisional and command structure of the Armed Forces of the United States of America and may, in fact, be rogue elements that are neither responsive to or even known to the lawful chain of command of the Armed Forces.
- 11. It is in that manner that some 3000 deaths were inflicted upon the United States of America on 9/11/01 based on fraud, apparent criminality and/or treason of a virtually unprecedented scale. This applies to both the events of 9/11/01 and to subsequent events that were justified upon the alleged commission of terror against the USA, when, in fact,

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Page 31 of 36

(False Claims Act: Presentation of False Claims) (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)) (All Defendants)

- 62. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation in $\P 1$ through 60, as if fully set forth herein.
- 63. The defendants knowingly presented or caused to be presented false or fraudulent claims for payment or approval to the United States.
- 64. By virtue of the false or fraudulent claims made by the defendants, the United States suffered damages and therefore is entitled to treble damages under the False Claims Act, to be determined at trial, plus a civil penalty of \$5,000 to \$10,000 for each false claim.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Claims Act: Making or Using False Record or Statement) (31 U.S.C. § 3729 (a)(2)) (All Defendants)

- 65. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each allegation in $\P 1$ through 60, as if fully set forth herein.
- 66. The defendants, and each of them, knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used, false records or statements to get false or fraudulent claims paid or approved by the United States.
- 67. By virtue of the false records or statements made by the defendants, the United States suffered damages and therefore is entitled to treble damages under the False Claims Act, to be determined at trial, plus a civil penalty of \$5,000 to \$10,000 for each false claim.

did not know, such ignorance was willful, intentional and actionable under the False Claims Act.

- 14. As a result of defendants' false statements and false or fraudulent reports and other submissions issued or delivered to NIST during the course of NIST's investigation in the years 2002 to 2005, defendants wrongfully obtained payments from NIST which they knew or should have known they were not entitled to receive, by virtue of the fraud they were then and there committing.
- The causes of action alleged herein are timely brought on the basis of the filing of relator's complaint in this action within either six (6) years of the events of 9/11/01 or within two (2) years of the issuance by NIST of its final report entitled NCSTAR 1, which was issued in or about the month of October 2005, and wherein relator filed the March 16, RFC and the Supplement thereto in which relator provided specific original source information concerning the nature of the fraud committed and the capacity of certain of the defendants to have knowingly engaged in that fraud by virtue of either their participation in the manufacture of DEW or other areas of expertise, such as, by way of non-exhaustive example, WJE's knowledge of structural steel and David Sharp's collection and analysis of that steel, such that he and others knew that the steel was ablated, which is to say, melted, warped, subjected to molecular dissociation and in other respects deformed and/or utterly disintegrated and turned to dust in a manner that could not have reasonably resulted from the effects of gravity, kerosene and/or crash impact damage, assuming arguendo that crash damage occurred. By virtue of the collective and individual complicity of the defendants in the fraud that was perpetrated, such as expertise in psy ops, other defendants, like SAIC, all conspired to and did defraud both

VIII. FALSE CLAIMS AND FALSE STATEMENTS TO NIST

- 56. The various cost reports, requisitions, billing statements and/or requests for reimbursement submitted by the defendant NIST participants from and after 2002, through, at least, September, 2005, and, in many instances, continuing to the present, all contained false claims for reimbursement and made false statements to NIST concerning work performed by them and/or consulting services rendered to NIST because the true nature and intent was to mislead NIST and to cause a false causal statement concerning what caused the destruction of the WTC complex to occur.
- 57. Exhibit A contains the following information regarding the nature of the destruction of the WTC complex and the evidence in support thereof:
 - The near free-fall timeframe of destruction.
 - b) The failure properly to assess the dynamic of "tipping" of WTC 2 during its destruction.
 - c) The lack of debris, consistent with unusual energy effects.
 - The "holes" that are only adequately explained based on unusual energy effects, consistent with the use of DEW.
 - Vehicles that are inexplicably burned as if toasted and found in unexplained places and at varying distances from Ground Zero; simultaneous lack of burning of paper. Each of these widespread effects are unusual energy effects, consistent with DEW.
 - f) Steel turned to dust as literally as the buildings are being destroyed before our very eyes. These effects defy explanation other than that of DEW.

IV. PARTIES

18. The United States brings this action on behalf of its Department of Commerce ("Commerce Department"), and its agency, the National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST"), which issued NCSTAR 1, one of the source documents in which the fraud alleged hereunder is to be found.

Document 5

- 19. Plaintiff and relator Dr. Judy Wood is a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of South Carolina. From approximately January 2006 through October 2006, Wood collected data and information and caused it to be published on her website for educational purposes which website is known as janedoe0911.tripod.com and/or drjudywood.com. Wood caused to be published in or about the month of October 2006, her theory that the events of 9/11/01 that resulted in the utter, complete and total annihilation of the World Trade Center Complex, including WTC 1 and 2 as well as all other buildings having a WTC prefix, with the possible exception of WTC 7 was brought about by use of directed energy weapons. Upon information and belief, a separate challenge to NIST's conduct of its investigation of WTC 7 is presently pending.
- 20. Annexed hereto as Exhibit B is a document written in the year 2000, at the behest of an agent of some of the defendants that describes the then current status of development of DEW.
- 21. Annexed hereto as Exhibit C is a letter dated April 7, 2007, and sent to Susan L. Thornton of the Directed Energy Directorate (hereinafter referred to as the "Thornton letter") seeking additional confirmation, over and above the copious documentation and other evidence already compiled by relator, of the evidence presented by plaintiff/relator

other operational, but largely secret weapons that are, nonetheless, known to exist and known to have been deployed and/or deployable in the year 2001, before and after. It is also clear and apparent on its face that NIST's explanation of the destruction of WTC1,2, issued in or about the month of September, 2005, is blatantly false, incomplete, misleading and fraudulent. As earlier stated, NIST first described its mandate, in words and substance, as that of determining what caused the destruction of WTC1,2. Then by intentional and admitted modification and narrowing of the scope of that stated objective and mandate, NIST openly declined to carry it out. That modification was at the behest and with the urging, backing and/or combined manipulative power of the defendants, acting singularly, collectively, overtly, covertly and otherwise.

53. Several of the defendants with whom NIST contracted for services in the preparation of NCSTAR 1 are, themselves, primarily engaged as defense contractors in a variety of disciplines, including, without limitation, very specific involvement in the use, development, manufacture, testing and or assessment of usability of directed energy weapons. In other words, NIST contracted with those who have the greatest familiarity with directed energy weapons in order to produce a report that sought to go to any length necessary to avoid, disguise, omit and otherwise divert attention away from the actual, real and intended destruction of the WTC complex by use of one device, namely directed energy weaponry, while pretending that the cause was the result of conditions that would be impossible based on the extent to which the NIST report, NCSTAR 1 violated both the laws of physics and common sense. By way of one example, NIST's NCSTAR 1 report found no piece of steel that had been subjected to a temperature higher than 600 degrees C, and most had not encountered a temperature of higher than 250 degrees C; yet, NIST

Case 1:07-cv-03314-GBD

- Defendant Applied Research Associates Inc. (ARA) is an "employee owned" corporation having its principal office and place of business at 4300 San Mateo Blvd. NE • Suite A-220 • Albuquerque, NM 87110 that currently operates ARA 73 offices in the United States and in Canada, some of which have classified computing and storage and military applications abbreviated as:
 - SEI CMMI Certification
 - SCIF facilities
 - SIPRNET access

ARA also has:

- Manufacturing/prototyping facilities
- Laboratories
- Testing facilities

located in various states, including, without limitation, the States of Vermont, Florida, Colorado and Texas. ARA, upon information and belief, manufactures or causes to be manufactured, develops and/or tests DEW that are operational in Earth orbit, at high

or used, a false record or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the Government; (3) conspires to defraud the Government by getting a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the Government;. . . or (7) knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement to conceal, avoid, or decrease an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the Government.

* * * is liable to the United States

Government for a civil penalty of not less than \$5,000 and not more than \$10,000, plus 3 times the amount of damages which the Government sustains because of the act of that person

(b) For purposes of this section, the terms "knowing" and "knowingly" mean that a person, with respect to information (1) has actual knowledge of the information; (2) acts in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the information; or (3) acts in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information, and no proof of specific intent to defraud is required. See 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a) and (b).

to work on, by literally surrounding and, accordingly, controlling and manipulating,
NIST officials such that fraud, the intended outcome, did, in fact, occur. It is telling that
the two contractors who were most numerous among NIST's contractors were those
whose primary expertise is in DEW and PSY OPS respectively. Small wonder, then, that
NIST did not investigate what caused the destruction of WTC 1,2; namely, DEW, carried
out in the manner of a PSY OP.

25. Defendant Boeing is a publicly traded company that has its corporate offices at Boeing Corporate Offices, 100 North Riverside, Chicago, IL 60606 (312-544-2000). It has offices in 70 countries. One of its companies is Boeing Integrated Defense Systems – the world's second largest defense company, which provides end-to-end services for air, land, sea, and space-based platforms for global military, government and commercial customers. In January 2006, Boeing was awarded an Air Force Contract for Directed Energy and Space Surveillance Research & Development,

(http://www.boeing.com/news/releases/2006/q1/060116c_nr.html), including, by way of example, significant involvement in the development of the so-called Airborne Laser (ABL) that serves as a platform for the use of High Energy Lasers (HEL) that, upon information and belief, have the capacity to pulverize steel, destroy buildings in mere seconds, as happened to WTC 1,2 on 9/11/01.

26. Defendant NuStats is a full-service survey research and consulting firm, with more than 40 social scientists, research managers and technical specialists in their Austin, TX and Alexandria, VA offices. Its head office is at 3006 Bee Caves Road, Suite A300, Austin, TX 78746. NuStats is performing or has performed statistical research in the areas of education, transportation and on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency.

Defendant Silverstein Properties has an office or place of business at 250 Greenwich Street, 38th Floor New York City, NY 10007 and holds a leasehold interest in and to the site where WTC1,2 stood. As such, this defendant had a clear conflict of interest in steering the investigation away from any hint, suggestion, much less conclusion that DEW were a causal factor in the destruction of WTC1,2 and the rest of the World Trade Center complex. Indeed, one anomaly that should be a hint that something is amiss is that all buildings having an address prefix of "World Trade Center," namely buildings 1 through and including 7, were all completely and utterly destroyed on 9/11/01. Yet, for as horrific as that destruction was, virtually all other buildings in the area, some having a spatial relationship that was as close or nearly so as the WTC buildings were, one to the other, were not damaged very much at all, relatively speaking. Upon information and belief, Silverstein Properties benefited from an insurance claim relating to the events of 9/11/01. Upon information and belief, at least two of the insurers found to have been liable under their policies of insurance or of reinsurance with respect to this defendant, have, nonetheless, questioned the validity of the claim, based, in part, on the admission made by Larry Silverstein that WTC 7 was intentionally demolished, or words to that effect; and, further based on the as yet inadequate explanation of what did, in fact, cause the destruction of the World Trade Center complex,. Upon information and belief, yet another presently pending action calls attention to the fact that a principal of this defendant, Larry Silverstein, has publicly admitted that WTC was intentionally demolished by using phrases that are to that effect. In that and in other ways, defendant chose to use its expertise to commit fraud based on either withholding information or manipulating information and by then accepting

Greenbelt, MD 20770 (301-441-2357. The Internal Revenue Service, U.S. Naval Sea Logistics Center Detachment Atlantic (NAVSEA) and the U.S. Department of Treasury are listed as clients. This defendant directly participates in steering the NIST investigation away from assessment of the evidence of DEW.

- 29. Defendant GeoStats, Inc. is a privately held company which provides "highly-specialized consulting services for transportation projects that require the collection and analysis of accurate spatial and temporal data". Its products include GeoLoggerTM, a simple and practical in-vehicle GPS data collection solution. Its office is located at 530 Means Street, NW, Suite 310, Atlanta, GA 30318. That expertise was used to perpetrate fraud in the preparation of NCSTAR 1.
- 30. Defendant Gilsanz Murray Steficek LLP is an "employee owned" corporation having its principal office and place of business at 129 West 27th Street 5th Floor New York . NY 10001, also with offices in NJ and CA. Some of their services include Structural Design of buildings, bridges, and towers and also Specialty Services such as Seismic Evaluation and Blast Resistant Design. They contribute to the structural engineering community through involvement in professional societies and by publishing technical papers relevant to structural design. They have performed renovations/constructions to many structures including Hoboken Terminal & Yard, Hoboken, NJ (railroad facilities, terminals, support buildings and bridges), 11 MetroTech Center, Brooklyn, NY (NYPD 911 computer operations). That expertise could have been, but was not, used for purposes of calling attention to the fact that the effects seen and left by the pattern of destruction of WTC1,2 was caused by DEW. Instead, defendant

- Defendant Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. Underwriters Laboratories Inc. (UL) is an independent, not-for-profit product safety certification organization that has been testing products and writing Standards for Safety for over a century. UL evaluates more than 19,000 types of products, components, materials and systems annually with 21 billion UL Marks appearing on 71,000 manufacturers' products each year. UL's worldwide family of companies and network of service providers includes 66 laboratories, testing and certification facilities serving customers in 104 countries. Its corporate headquarters is located at 33 Pfingsten Road, Northbrook, IL 60062-2096. That expertise could have been, but was not, used for purposes of calling attention to the fact that the effects seen and left by the pattern of destruction of WTC1,2 was caused by DEW. Instead, defendant chose to use its expertise to commit fraud based on either withholding information or manipulating information and by then accepting payment improperly. Said defendant not only knew that the quality of steel used in the construction of WTC1,2 should not have been significantly harmed or weakened by the stated combined effects of crash damage, fire and/or gravity, it overtly suppressed such information by terminating the services of one of its employees, Kevin Ryan, who called attention to that incongruity of causal explanation. Nonetheless, defendant then proceeded to engage in further fraud and deception by intentionally ignoring and/or manipulation information that knew, or should have, that WTC1,2 were destroyed by means other than those stated in NCSTAR 1.
- 40. Defendant Wiss, Janney, Elstner Assoicates, Inc.(WJE) has its principal place of business at 330 Pfingsten Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062 and is engaged in a wide range of structural and materials testing services. That expertise could have been, but was

information or manipulating information and by then accepting payment improperly.

- 33. Defendant Rolf Jensen & Associates, Inc. (RJA) is a company of fire protection and security consultants, registered with the General Services Administration and working closely with the federal government, providing professional engineering consulting services, products and programs. Its corporate headquarters is located in Addison TX (16633 Dallas Parkway, Suite 600, Addison, TX 70001, with additional offices throughout the U.S. and abroad including an office located at 360 W. 31 st Street, Suite 900, New York, NY 10001. Since its inception (1969) it has earned the right to participate on many of the world's leading projects. For the World Trade Center project, RJA teamed up with S.K. Ghosh Associates, Inc. (SKGA) and Rosenwasser/Grossman Consulting Engineers, P.C. (RG). That expertise could have been, but was not, used for purposes of calling attention to the fact that the effects seen and left by the pattern of destruction of WTC1,2 was caused by DEW. Instead, defendant chose to use its expertise to commit fraud based on either withholding information or manipulating information and by then accepting payment improperly.
- 34. Defendant Rosenwasser/Grossman Consulting Engineers, P.C.'s president, Jacob S. Grossman, has been involved in the design of over 1000 steel and concrete buildings since 1957, three of these buildings are among the 100 tallest in the world. Mr. Grossman was a technical consultant to the Applied Technology Council for the development of "NEHRP Guidelines for the Seismic Rehabilitation of Buildings". It has been involved in "participation with government agencies, including FEMA and NIST, and reviewing and improving design details that will protect against terrorist activity".

 The corporation's main address is 132 W. 36th at 6th Avenue, New York, NY 10018. It is

- a privately held company. That expertise could have been, but was not, used for purposes of calling attention to the fact that the effects seen and left by the pattern of destruction of WTC1,2 was caused by DEW. Instead, defendant chose to use its expertise to commit fraud based on either withholding information or manipulating information and by then accepting payment improperly.
- 35. Defendant Simpson Gumpertz & Heger Inc. is privately held ENR 500 consulting/engineering firm that applies advanced engineering to buildings, infrastructure and special structures. Founded in 1956, their practice encompasses the design, investigation and performance evaluation and repair and rehabilitation of constructed works. Their New York office is located at 19 W. 34th Street, Suite 1000, New York, NY 10001. Its list of clients include the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Department of Justice and U.S. General Services Administration. That expertise could have been, but was not, used for purposes of calling attention to the fact that the effects seen and left by the pattern of destruction of WTC1,2 was caused by DEW. Instead, defendant chose to use its expertise to commit fraud based on either withholding information or manipulating information and by then accepting payment improperly.
- 36. Defendant S.K.Ghosh Associates, Inc., a privately held company, is involved in the development of seismic design code provisions for national model building codes and standards including ASCE 7 Standard for Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and ACI 318 Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete. Its main office is located at 334 E. Colfax Street, Palatine, IL 60067. Its clients include the Building and Fire Research Laboratory (part of NIST). That expertise could have been, but was not, used for purposes of calling attention to the fact that the effects seen and left by the pattern of