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THE CANCER BUSINESS

second only to the petrochemical industry

Pat Rattigan, 2003
Animal experimentation underpins the world-wide multi-billion dollar cancer racket. Tumours are implanted in animals and swell to grotesque proportions. Animals are subject to massive doses of radiation and chemicals. 250,000 animals suffer and die in fraudulent UK cancer research each year. "The history of cancer research has been a history of curing cancer in the mouse... for decades - and it simply didn't work in people". Dr Richard Klausrier, head of the National Cancer Institute LA Times May 1998. "If you have cancer and you are a mouse, we can take good care of you." Dr Judah Folkman US cancer vivisector. Time mag. May 1998.

Even that is a lie: artificially implanted tumours in mice are not cancer. Their record of successfully treating real cancer in mice is the same as in any other case of real cancer: total failure.

"The ably exploited fear of this disease, caused mainly by the products issued from chemical and industrial laboratories, has become an inexhaustible source of income for the researchers, for the pharmaceutical industry and the medical establishment. In the course of our century, so-called cancer research and cancer therapy have become a source of solid gold without precedent." Hans Ruesch, Naked Empress Highly-paid professional liars of the cancer business P.R. dept., aided by hopelessly transparent media agents-in the form of 'medical correspondents", "science editors", "social affairs/health correspondents" etc.-provide regular Cancer Research Breakthroughs whilst the holocaust worsens continually.

Animal-based "cancer research" fund-raisers include

CANCER RESEARCH UK, THE LEUKAEMIA RESEARCH FUND, TENOVUS, THE YORKSHIRE CANCER RESEARCH CAMPAIGN, CANCER AND LEUKAEMIA IN CHILDHOOD TRUST, BREAKTHROUGH BREAST CANCER, INSTITUTE OF CANCER RESEARCH, WORLD CANCER RESEARCH FUND.

The British Anti-Vivisection Association is infiltration-proof It spends no money on salaries or offices: all money is used to fight vivisection on moral, ethical, philosophical, medical, scientific, economic and environmental grounds.

---
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ones used, usually, today. Proprietary brands may have substitutes in their formulae.

Herbs should be bought from a reliable source, with guarantees that they have not been fumigated or irradiated.

Herb mixtures need to be prepared carefully with regard to the instructions laid down by those experienced in their usage. They work slowly, with the inherent healing force, to restore harmony within the body.

The Outer Layers

Health is multi-dimensional: harmony is needed on all of the planes; physical, mental, emotional and spiritual. Continued stress, whether it be caused by cigarette smoke or memories of childhood unhappiness, will, if the pre-disposition is there, lead to a breakdown of the cancer control mechanism.

Suppressed negative emotions - grief, resentment, jealousy, anger, fear... can poison just as effectively as junk food or junk medicine or environmental pollution. Whether through counselling, meditation or healing, the demon must be exorcised: the mirror, faced.

His cancer personality is a reality: its degree of importance varying from person to person, as part of the total stress load on the body's inherent capacity to maintain the status quo - health.

The difference between what is important and what is less so must be understood: important things are smelling flowers, talking to cats and dogs, watching insects, standing in the woodland stream, writing and reading poetry...

Whatever actions were taken by the authorities, immediately and totally, to rectify the scenario, all that could be hoped for would be a damage limitation. As it is, not only will there be no attempt at this limitation, the cancer-makers are continuing to enjoy the full and enthusiastic approval of the government, the medical trade, the bureaucrats, the media and the rest.

How much of this is deliberate or through the usual crass incompetence, is of academic interest only.

The cancer wipe-out, scheduled for some time next century, seems inevitable unless some other disaster intervenes first.

Individualised actions, in the form of personal lifestyle changes and the dissemination of currently suppressed information, are the order of the day.

---

**EPIDEMIC**

The Dark at the End of the Tunnel

In the U.K., at the present time, around one third of general hospital patients are suffering from cancer: two out of five of the population have, or will develop, the disease. The U.K. citizen, born in the year 2010 may well face a situation whereby they are more likely to develop cancer, at sometime, than they are to avoid it. The theoretical 100% cancer incidence is due long before the end of the century.

The 5-year survival figures, not to be confused with the successful treatment of the disease, for the major cancers are: stomach-5 percent; trachea, bronchus and lung-5 percent; breast-50 percent; oesophagus-5 percent; large intestins-22 percent; pancreas-4 percent; liver-2 percent. [1] There has been no significant increase in survival rates since records began.

The Solid Gold Source

The cancer business is second only, in size, to its big brother, petrochemicals.

In the 20 years from 1970 to 1990, in the USA alone, the cancer business was worth an estimated 1 trillion dollars ($1,000,000,000,000) [2]. If the same percentage of the overall disease bill applies in Britain as in the US, the current-2003-expenditure on cancer will be 4 to 8 billion pounds per year.

With these kind of amounts involved it is quite understandable why the drug/radiation/scalpel/vivisection cancer cartel have maintained a constant, ruthless campaign to suffocate, at birth, any and all attempts to introduce rational therapeutic regimes to deal with the species-threatening plague.

The Enemy Within

The cancer epidemic has many causative components; virtually all of them either actively promoted by or ignored by the government health departments, the medical trade etc.: the lethal "Dept. of Health balanced diet", vaccination, antibiotics, antipyretics, analgesics, steroids, routine X-ray screening, animal-"safety-tested" pesticides, herbicides, solvents, dyes, detergents etc., fluoride-waste dumping in public drinking water, radio/chemo "therapy", nuclear energy, electro-pollution and so on. Ever aware of the need to protect their brethren in the petro-pharmaceutical cancer machine, the cancer P.R. boys, aided and abetted by their on-the-payroll media pals - passing themselves off as unbiased observers - faithfully maintain the line that the whole thing is down to smoking and sun-bathing.

One thing that they need to explain is the canine carnage: in a population of 6 million domestic dogs, over a million per year are dying from cancer - or the treatment [3]. This constitutes a dog cancer death-rate well over 50 times that of the human rate, due to the regime of vet-approved vaccines. antibiotics, worming
Alcoholic drinks, preferably organically produced, in moderation and in the evenings can be immuno-supportive and a relatively safe, effective soporific, cleanser and sedative.

Prof. Aviles, of the Mexican Cancer Biochemistry Dept., saw 7,715 cancer patients over 15 years: 99% suffered chronic constipation, the degree of malignancy parallel to the intensity of the constipation. Colon cleanliness is fundamentality to the prevention of cancer and other chronic, degenerative diseases: anyone on Doctor's Balanced Diet or worse, for decades, might well be carrying 5 to 25 pounds - or more - of rotting waste in the colon; poisoning and robbing the system of nutrients. A herbal/ nutritional cleansing regime will remove the residue in time. Try to wear cotton or other natural material next to the skin: expose the eyes to natural light as often as possible, without spectacles or contact lenses.

The wearing of a bra for long periods is a major factor in the development of breast cancer. A study on 5,000 US women, showed that 99 percent of the women in the cancer group had worn a bra for 12 hours or more per day; 80 percent in the non-cancer group: 18 percent of the cancer group slept in their bra; 3 percent in the non-cancer group: 0.24 of the women in the cancer group were non-bra wearers; 3 percent in the non group.

The study's authors, Singer and Grismaijer, state that the correlation between the wearing of a bra and breast cancer is between 4 and 12 times greater than the correlation between cigarettes and lung cancer.

Wearing a bra continually, 24 hours a day, showed a 125-times greater incidence of breast cancer than no bra wearing at all and a 113 times greater incidence than wearing a bra 12 hours per day.

Constriction of the lymph system is an invitation to toxic build-up: providing that there is no evidence of a malignant tumour, the breasts should be massaged to increase the detox procedure and the bra should be worn only when considered to be necessary and certainly no more than 12 hours a day.

**SYNTHETICS**

All ingestion of synthetics, by mouth, nose or skin - this includes soaking in a bath full of petro-synthetic shampoo, bath lotion etc - is to be avoided wherever possible - food and drink additives, fly sprays, aerosol polishes and ah fresheners, vehicle exhausts. Avoid all products of the pharmaceutical industry - pain-killers, fever suppressants, antibiotics, vaccines, fluoride products, steroids etc. Garlic, cayenne and cider vinegar, alone, would replace the majority of the prescriptions shelled out by GPs. Put the three - organically produced - in a bottle and keep in the fridge. Take diluted in water, daily.

“I confess that I believed in the irradiation of tonsils, lymph nodes and the thymus gland. I believed my professors when they said the doses we were using were absolutely harmless. Years later the ‘absolutely harmless’ radiation... was reaping a harvest of thyroid tumours.” [6]

The huge, increasing assault on the immuno-defence systems and the ever-growing deluge of carcinogens will certainly result in a considerable acceleration of the rates of cancer incidence and death. The theoretical 100 percent cancer incidence could well be here around the mid 2,000s.

**THE ORTHODOX CURE HOAX**

The definition of cure in cancer is the restoration of the cancer defence mechanisms and the elimination of cancer through these mechanisms. If this restoration is not possible, the next best is the constant support, through safe, natural means, of the body's attempts to keep the disease at bay.

Neither of these is supplied by orthodox cancer treatment.

The cancer research fund-raisers' definition of cancer cure is the apparent lack of symptoms for five years. If, or when, the patient dies a year or two after the five years, from the effects of the treatment, they remain in the "cure" statistics: cured and dead at the same time.

"Patients may die from secondary growths at any time from a few months to many years after the treatment. Only after a gap of as much as twenty one years does the risk of death from some other cause exceed the risk of death from the original disease." [7] Or from the original treatment.

On June 8 1990, at Chelmsford Crown Court, a 42-year old woman was awarded £155,000 damages. She had suffered seven years of cancer treatment. "She spent 13 months in and out of cancer wards during which she made friends and saw them die. Her hair fell out and she suffered continual nausea during six spells of painful radiological and drug treatment... Surgeons opened her stomach and removed an ovary in an unsuccessful search for breast cancer. Only then was the shattered woman told it had been a mistake." Times 9.6.1990

The woman, who lost her career and her marriage, had never believed the diagnosis but had allowed herself to be rail-roaded by the white-coated cancer gods. The precise number of people who have been mis-diagnosed and have then been killed by the onslaught or who have survived and have been put in the "cure" statistics cannot be estimated. One anonymous cancer statistician commented "I wouldn't be surprised if they are curing a lot of leukaemia that never existed." [8]

In 1940, according to Prof Hardin B.Jones [19] -“Through re-definition of terms, various questionable grades of malignancy were classed as ‘cancer’. After that
host. The treatment is conducted by teams of specialised professionals using the body as a passive battleground... . The old idea that a doctor should 'first do no harm' has been forgotten. No wonder that the public is beginning to revolt against such barbarous treatment. "[42]

Bereaved relatives need to realise that very few patients die from cancer and that those responsible for the painful, premature deaths would, if they were in any other field, have been brought into court long ago. The legal profession, not slow to come forward in other aspects of medical litigation, are strangely reluctant in this area.

SURVIVAL

In the healthy body, the immune system defences deal with the ever-present, potentially-cancerous cells: there is no element, whatsoever, of bad luck in the incidence of cancer: it does not strike, like a bolt from the blue, sick and healthy alike. It is an end process of neglected, suppressed ill-health.

The ever-increasing immuno-assault, coupled with the out-of-control carcinogenic saturation of the environment, drinking water, food, medicines etc. and the medical trade's steadfast refusal to stop the legalised slaughter of consensus cancer treatment; all call for individualised action on prevention. Cancer prevention is divided into two overlapping areas: maintenance of the cancer defences i.e. the promotion, cultivation and maintenance of health on all its planes and the avoidance of the carcinogens - known and suspected.

Nutrition

The incidence, severity, and control of cancer depend, more than any other single factor, on nutrition.

Homo sapiens is a frugivore; designed by the Creator, whoever that may be, to live on raw, organically-grown of course, fruit and nuts: man can also do very well on vegetables, rice, peas, beans etc. but is not by any means suited to meat, milk, cheese, butter, eggs, fish etc. We have the teeth Jaw-action, digits, perspiration mechanism, stomach acid strength, intestinal length... of a strict non-animal eater. The Book of Genesis is quite clear on the subject: the Bible-cells ors slipped up forward in other aspects of medical litigation, are strangely reluctant in this area.

AVOID

AU animal foods - meat, milk, cheese, butter, eggs, fish... and the derivatives - whey, egg albumen, gelatin... etc. These products are a fibreless mixture of saturated fats, cholesterol, steroid growth-promoters/vaccine/antibiotic/pesticide/herbicide etc. residues, mastitis pus, disinfectant, salmonella, listeria, Campylobacter, E coli 0157, etc., etc.. Refined carbohydrates - sugar, white flour, bread, biscuits, cakes etc. Synthetic food additives - preservatives, colourants, sweeteners etc. READ all, the good doctors wouldn't lie to him... would they ?... the truth about his real condition was not revealed to him until my mother accompanied him to the clinic for what was to be his last treatment.

... the prognosis was 'very grim'... my father had less than a month to live... then, and only then, did my father allow us to take him elsewhere for non-toxic treatment... My husband took him to a cancer help centre where he received metabolic therapy... he was one hundred percent more comfortable and relatively free of pain... and he died a peaceful death.

He did not die of cancer, however, but of pneumonia, one of the chemotherapy's side-effects. Chemotherapy damages if not destroys the body's immune defence system... had my father been told that chemotherapy would never cure him... he would have allowed us to take him elsewhere... much sooner... .

I keep thinking of the Hippocratic oath, in particular, the first line, 'First do no harm' and... 'I will never do harm to anyone. To please no one will I prescribe a deadly drug, nor give advice which may cause his death.' I loved my dad very much and to lose him in this cruel horrible unnecessary manner is certainly the heartbreak of my life. "[12]

"Toxic chemotherapy is a hoax. The doctors who use it are guilty of premeditated murder." [17] "I can't understand why women take chemotherapy and suffer so terribly for no purpose." Oncologist - Channel 4 TV.

After radium was discovered it was tried out in various situations: it was inevitable that "... some enterprising medical men started a fad in using it on cancer victims." [13] The tumours were shrinking: cancer was being cured. This led to a price rise of 1,000 percent and the birth of a multi-billion dollar industry without an atom of science as its basis.

In late 1991 someone at the North Staffordshire Hospital, in Stoke, noticed that the settings on the tumour-burning machine were set too low. Various masterminds, including the local M.P., went on ah with dire warnings of the consequences to the 1,000 patients who had not received enough of the healing rays. An investigation was ordered.

The team undertaking the investigation faced a considerable problem. If they accepted the view of the doctor-in-charge, that the "under-dosed" patients fared no worse than those given prescribed doses, they might have been asked why patients were, and are, given large doses of immunosuppressive, carcinogenic radiation, when not necessary.

If they decided to preserve the virtue of radiation as a valid therapy by declaring that the UDs died quicker, they risked litigation from the relatives. If they found, as cynics strongly suspected, that the UDs lasted longer, they risked some trouble-
As the Laetrile/B17 suppression was demonstrably failing, with the ban being lifted in State after State, and as public pressure built up against the cancer fraudsters, the NCI were forced into a two-year, two hundred patient trial of the medicine. The official verdict having been already decided, it was paramount that the trial was rigged to confirm the authorised version: this was achieved by a multi-facetted approach.

"Phase TV" -dying - patients were selected: in normal synthetic drug trials early stage patients are chosen. His NCI admitted "All patients had proven cancer, beyond any hope of cure or therapy known to extend life-expectancy."

Laetrile was not used: a Laetrile manufacture by-product was substituted. The usual junk diet, including animal products, was allowed the patients. The injections were discontinued after 3 weeks, not three months. The criterion applied to the test was of tumour reduction, not the improvement in well-being, appetite, lack of pain etc.

Missouri and West Virginia legalised Laetrile after the verdict; reasoning, presumably, that if the American Medical Association, the FDA and the American Cancer Society had tried to ban Laetrile, it must be of considerable value in treating cancer. The Memorial Sloan Kettering Institute sacked one its senior directors, Ralph Moss, for blowing the whistle on the cover-up.

"Basically, the results were coming out positive and we in public affairs were told to say the results were negative and this went on for three years" Moss.

Dr. Dean Burk, head of cytochemistry at the NCI for 34 years, stated -" Once any of the hierarchy so much as concede that Laetrile anti-tumour efficiency was indeed once observed in NCI experimentation, a permanent crack in the bureaucratic armour has taken place that can widen indefinitely by further appropriate experimentation. " ESSIAC

Rene Caisse was a Canadian nurse: whilst working in a northern Ontario hospital, in 1922, she noticed a healed scar on the breast of an elderly patient. The woman explained that thirty years earlier a Toronto doctor had diagnosed cancer and had recommended mastectomy. Declining the offer, she took the treatment offered her by an Ojibwa/Chippewa herbalist: the tumour began to shrink and disappeared.

Caisse's first patient was her aunt, with advanced stomach cancer and six months to live: the aunt lived for another twenty years. Caisse treated thousands of patients over the years, mostly those who were diagnosed "incurable" and damaged by orthodox treatment. Grateful patients and friends presented a 5 5,000-signature petition to the Canadian authorities to allow the treatment to be available to anyone without persecution from the cancer establishment or their government lackeys. The parliamentary vote was lost by three votes due to the behind-the-scenes activity of the College of Physicians and Surgeons.

radiation, the symptoms of cancer does nothing for the victim's chances of survival. Adding gross insult to injury, the treatment involves massive doses of carcinogens and super-poisons. The patient is subject to a regime diametrically opposed to that which is needed for survival.

Cancer is an acceptable form of suicide for those who have lost the desire to live: this loss being a major factor in the development of the disease in the first place. The great tragedy and scandal is where the victim has a strong determination to live and fight but is then destroyed by the assault from the lethal, useless treatment and not by the cancer.

The one - and only - thing that can be said for the cancer business is that it is classless. George VI, The Shah of Persia, Jackie Onassis, John Wayne, King Hussein of Jordan, Bobby Moore, Roy Castle, Marti Caine, Linda McCartney, Dusty Springfield, John Thaw, George Harrison... all had the finest modern cancer therapy that money can buy and all ended up dead.

Early Detection

A boost to the early detection and cure hoax has been the improved methods used in picking up early cancer - real or imaginary - this has led to an increase of people lasting five years and being put in the cure statistics. Early stage patients are stronger and more likely to survive the assault: whereas, before the improved techniques, patients with more advanced disease succumbed to the disease/treatment before the five years were up.

On early detection and orthodox treatment, Prof. H.B. Jones, then the leading US cancer statistician, with over 30 years experience, stated, in 1975, "IT IS UTTER NONSENSE TO CLAIM THAT CATCHING CANCER SYMPTOMS EARLY ENOUGH WILL INCREASE THE PATIENT'S CHANCES OF SURVIVAL: NOT ONE SCIENTIST OR STUDY HAS PROVEN THAT IN ANY WAY. MY STUDIES HAVE PROVED CONCLUSIVELY THAT UNTREATED CANCER VICTIMS LIVE UP TO FOUR TIMES LONGER THAN TREATED INDIVIDUALS. IF ONE HAS CANCEERAND OPTS TO DO NOTHING AT ALL, HE WILL LIVE LONGER AND FEEL BETTER THAN IF HE UNDERGOES RADIATION, CHEMOTHERAPY OR SURGERY, OTHER THAN WHEN USED IN IMMEDIATE LIFE-THREATENING SITUATION."

One person who, before the reality hit him, may well have smiled at Jones' statement was US Senator Hubert Humphrey. Former vice-president Humphrey, a true believer - until it was too late - in the cancer boys and their early detection was told that he was suffering from bladder cancer. This was duly "cured" with radiation: later, in May 1976, the prognosis was that there was no reason for any further treatment. Six months later Humphrey was operated on for the removal of a bladder tumour. His surgeon, Willard Whitmore, declared "As far as we are concerned the Senator is cured."
dressed her lesions and sent her home... the little girl as well as her parents were greatly upset by the threatening presence of police officers. The child died three days later and there is no doubt in my mind that this death could have been postponed or avoided altogether if it hadn't been for the raid.

The concerted campaign, which was to last for years, to ruin Dr. Richardson; physically, mentally, financially, professionally and legally had begun.

The authorities revoked his medical licence and he was ordered to attend meetings 600 miles away in San Diego, with many of the hearings cancelled at the last minute; a procedure kept up at weekly intervals for six months.

The threat to the cancer business from effective therapies was taken very seriously from the beginning: by the 1940s the Syndicate had 300,000 names on its "quack" files. Vitamin B17, being a unique threat due to its simplicity, attracted more concentrated attacks than all the other treatments put together: fraudulent test reports; hired, banner-carrying pickets outside clinics; rigged juries; newspaper character assassinations; dismissal of heretic employees, etc.

The FDA, orchestrating the onslaught, sent out 10,000 posters and hundreds of thousands of leaflets warning about the dangers of the non-toxic substance. Earlier, a Congressional Accounting Office had found that 350 FDA employees had shares in, or had refused to declare an interest in, the pharmaceutical industry.

After much searching, geographically and historically, the FDA came up with three alleged cases of deaths from Laetrile poisoning: this in a country where hundreds are killed daily by FDA-approved treatments.

Two were women who had swallowed vials of Laetrile which were for injection only and one was an eleven month old baby girl, one of whose parents was taking the medicine for cancer.

According to the FDA, the child had become ill after she had "... accidentally ingested up to 5 (500mg) tablets of Laetrile" This was doubted by many including Dr Harold Manner -

"...I was lecturing in Buffalo, New York and... after I had made some strong statements - a man stood up and said 'Dr. Manner, how in the world can you make statements like that when the FDA is making these other statements.' I reiterated that the FDA statements were lies.

He said 'Look at this little girl in upstate New York, she took her father's Laetrile tablets and died of cyanide poisoning.' Just then a little lady stood up 'Dr. Manner let me answer that question... I think I am entitled to because I am that little baby's mother.' That baby never touched her father's Laetrile tablets. The doctor knowing the father was on Laetrile... marked down 'possible cyanide poisoning'. At the hospital they used a cyanide antidote and it was the antidote that killed the baby.

By 1989 four random-controlled mammography trials had taken place: in the USA, UK and two in Sweden. In the final, and most sophisticated, trial, in Malmö, it was found that for one woman to have a cancer avoided or delayed it would need 68,000 women to be screened [23]: as such a figure is completely meaningless, the grave dangers of mammography are entirely without any possible counter-balancing benefits.

"Breast scanning increases cancer risks for women... middle-aged women who have regular mammograms are more likely to die from breast cancer than those who are not screened... "[30]

The largest ever trial of mass breast cancer screening was the Canadian National Breast Screening Study, involving 50,000 women and directed by Prof. Anthony Miller. Half of the women were given X-ray screening every year or 18 months and half were given just a physical examination. Within the X-rayed group the cancer death-rate was "significantly higher."

His danger, according to Miller, was from the fact that mammograms lead to surgery for tiny lumps, encouraging secondaries to grow. Also, according to Miller "...one potential problem was that surgery, the anaesthetic and radiotherapy, involved in treating women with breast cancer, were interfering with immunity." No kiddin'?

Dr. Irwin Bross, Director of Biostatistics, Roswell Park Memorial Institute, had his funding stopped by the National Cancer Institute when he released his figures linking X-rays with cancer and leukaemia. Bross said that the mammography stunt would cause four or five cancers for every one detected, leading to "...the worst epidemic of iatrogenic breast cancer in history. In my view... the NCI would be better off putting the money allocated for future screenings into a trust fund for the victims of the programme who will develop cancer in 10 to 15 years time."

According to Prof Jones "With every patient that... boosts his health to build up his natural resistance, there's a high chance that the body will find its own defence against the cancer". Prof Jones warned against "...being made into a hopeless invalid through radical medical intervention which has zero chance of extending his life."

None of which has made any impression on the screeners or the knife/drug/ray tumour-zappers: or on the ever-willing multitude of females, following the camp and determined to be the next sacrifice, virgin or otherwise, at the feet of The Great God of Consensus Medicine.

**BRISTOL**

In June 1986, a study, financed by the Cancer Research Campaign and the Imperial Cancer Research Fund, began at the Bristol Cancer Help Centre. His BCHC had approached the Syndicate with a view to the evaluation of the Centre's
In 1952 the American biochemist Ernst Krebs PhD proposed that cancer was a deficiency disease: the deficiency being the factor linked for so long with cancer therapy. He identified the substance as part of the nitriloside group, specifically, amygdalin, a cyanogenic glycoside, first isolated from the bitter almond, prunus amygdalus amara, in 1830, by the French chemists Robiquet and Boutron-Charland. Its chemical structure is D(L)-mandelonitrile-B-D-glucosido-6-6-B-glucoside as recorded in the Merck Index, 1976.

Toxicologically, amygdalin falls between Class 1 and Class 2. [36] which means it is virtually non-toxic: this compares with saccharin, between Class 3 and Class 4 and most "chemotherapy" - Class 6 - super toxic.

The Hunzans, a cancer-free society in the Himalayas, consume up to forty apricot kernels as an after-dinner snack: coupled with the rest of their amygdalin-rich diet this constitutes an ingestion of 50 to 70 milligrams of the substance per day. According to Krebs "There are many of us in the western world who do not ingest this amount... in the course of an entire year."

Traditional Eskimos, the Hopi and Navajo tribes, the Abkhasians of the Caucasus Mountains and other notably cancer-free groups have amygdalin-rich diets.

After taking into account the required factors, Krebs allocated his substance the next available number on the vitamin B index: 17. He named his concentrated amygdalin preparation, Laetrile.

As many of the world's cancer-free societies are outside of the polluted environment and distinctly advantaged in the quest to remain healthy, a group of Americans began, in the 1950s, to test Krebs' theory.

"For over two decades there has been a steadily-growing group of people who have accepted the vitamin theory of cancer and who have altered their diets accordingly. They represent all walks of life, all ages, both sexes, and reside in almost every advanced nation of the world. It is estimated that there are many thousands in the United States alone. It is significant, therefore, that at starting and maintaining a diet rich in vitamin B17, none of these people have ever been known to contract cancer." [37]

Dr. Dean Burk, then head of the NCI, said he had been contacted, during the space of 12 months, by at least 750 people /..including many MD physicians most of whom were ". using it (B17) merely with prevention of development of cancer in view." [38]

One of the first doctors to use Laetrile in the control of cancer was Dr. Maurice Kowan: this landed him in court in Los Angeles.

The prosecutor told the jury "This is not a kindly old man. This is the most thoroughly evil person the imagination can concoct... This man has to be stopped. His report found itself under a deluge of criticism, mainly in the pages of the Lancet, and a couple of months after the report publication one of the reporters, T.J. McElwain, committed suicide. None of which caused the others involved: Chilvers, Bagenal etc. to eau for official withdrawal of the report: a report which had concluded "OTHER ALTERNATIVE PRACTITIONERS SHOULD HAVE THE COURAGE TO SUBMIT THEIR WORK TO THIS TYPE OF STRINGENT ASSESSMENT."

Tamoxifen

Tamoxifen is a drug which is related, structurally, to the infamous DES and is used in the treatment of breast cancer. It is now being promoted as abreast cancer preventative in the UK, despite serious reservations on both sides of the Atlantic. Women are being asked to take a tablet of the drug every day for five years with regular X-rays throughout the period. According to Professor Samuel S. Epstein of the School of Public Health, University of Illinois - "As relatively few breast cancer patients have taken the drug for more than five years tamoxifen may be a 'much more potent human carcinogen than is currently recognised'."

Studies have suggested an increased risk of uterine cancer, 6.4 times higher for those women taking tamoxifen [27] The literature, sent out by the promoters states - "Liver tumours develop in rats given large doses of tamoxifen, but not in mice so it is difficult to be sure about the effects in humans."

This has not deterred some ladies from signing up: presumably, having reasoned that they are more like mice than rats.

"Drug maker may quit cancer trial - Healthy women taking part in a clinical trial to prevent breast cancer might have been put at a risk of developing other tumours... the drug may increase the risk of tumours in the womb, liver and bowel. The disclosure, on the eve of the launch... has led to the manufacturer threatening to pull out." [28]

Hamish Cameron, head of medical affairs at Zeneca, the drug's makers, stated - "Should the benefit/risk balance shift adversely, we reserve the right to withdraw that drug supply. If the evidence is quite clear I would hope the trialists themselves would realise that the game is up." Dr. Richard Bulbrook, one of the original proposers of the tamoxifen enterprise, voiced his concerns - "Things have changed. There are now so many side-effects reported - there were 41 at the last count - and some of these are fatal."

The USA abandoned the tamoxifen prevention scam on safety grounds: all in sharp contrast to Gordon McVie "scientific director" of the "Cancer Research Campaign" - "What we want to know is can we get more mileage out of tamoxifen, possibly by giving it for life."
present naturopathic, biochemical regime has been in operation for 5 years and
has treated almost 500 patients: currently, 250 patients are under treatment. Over
30 "terminal" cases have been restored to "outstanding health" and the rest are
progressing. "Over ninety percent of our patients have been declared "terminal" in
conventional terms but, provided they are able to start the full therapy and maintain
it, none have been lost through cancer.

Patients across the UK are supported by a team of some sixty medical
nutritionists... ages have ranged from children to the very elderly, those patients...
who received no conventional treatment will have the speediest and most trouble-
free progress." NCTT

Whilst the Trust recognises that on occasions where a tumour is an immediate
threat to life - a blocked bowel, oesophagus etc - physical intervention may be
necessary, "Chemotherapy is entirely negative to our therapies and cannot be used
simultaneously."

**Macrobiotics**

The standard macrobiotic diet consists of 50/60% whole grain cereals, 20/15%
vegetables, 5/10% beans and sea vegetables, 5% soups.

Macrobiotics is a holistic approach to cancer - and life -, including the cultivation of a
calm, harmonious approach to society and nature.

‘DIET CURED MY KILLER CANCER’

... as a doctor I’d never referred any case of cancer to an 'alternative' practitioner.
Late in January, I started getting diarrhoea and some intestinal discomfort... an
ultrasound scan revealed that I had cancer of the pancreas... We both knew the
diagnosis was, more or less, a death sentence... I knew the prognosis for my case -
it was two to four months survival from the date of diagnosis... We’d found a
hospice in Hampstead and made a booking." Dr. Hugh Faulkner, then aged 74. On
the advice of Shiatsu masseuse he decided to have a consultation at the
Community health Foundation to see if macrobiotics "...would improve the quality of
the months remaining to me. Michio Kushi, the leader of the international
macrobiotic movement... took any pulse very carefully and spent a long time
examining my skin... I said 'well, can macrobiotics cure my cancer?' 'Your body
can, he said.' Iow... I am feeling fit and well... I've had full blood counts and there is
no evidence of cancer... they asked me to talk to some GPs on a refresher course.
One of them said 'I don't believe you ever had cancer.' So I showed them my
biopsy report and introduced them to my surgeon. they said 'spontaneous
regression'. " Dr. Faulkner was still alive seven years later.

"My conclusion after a quarter a century of medical practice is that truly
spontaneous remissions are almost as rare as chickens' teeth... such cases as do

A mother, writing in a women's magazine, said - "BY THE TIME YOU READ THIS
MY SON MICHAEL, WHO IS JUST FIVE, WILL BE ALMOST AT THE END OF A
YEARS COURSE OF TREATMENT FOR CANCER... TO SHOW FOR IT
MICHAEL HAS A SCAR FROM ONE SIDE OF HIS BODY TO THE
OTHER.WHERE DOCTORS REMOVED A HUGE MALIGNANT TUMOUR AND
ONE OF HIS KIDNEYS. RADIOTHERAPY AT BARTS HOSPITAL LEFT HIM
LIMPLIKE ALITTLE RAG DOLL WITH ALL THE STUFFING KNOCKED OUT OF
HIM. CHEMOTHERAPY HAS MADE ALL HIS HAIR FALL OUT THE DRUGS...
MAKE HIM VOMIT FOR HOURS ON END...

HIS FACE IS SMALL AND MOSTLY PALE: HIS EYES ARE DARK AND SULLEN
AND SHADOWED, THE WAY A CHILD'S EYES SHOULD NEVER BE."

Whilst it is unknown how long this child stood up to his tormentors, before being
killed, it is certain that none of the medical inquisitors were hung, jailed for life,
thrown into a padded cell or struck off any medical register.

It is also certain that anyone approaching the torturers with a suggestion that a
regime of metabolic, herbal, nutritional, etc. treatment might effect a safe, effective
approach to the illness would have been ignored or ridiculed. In the USA, Food and
Drug administrator Robert Young admitted - "In Boston, a hospital tested a new
NCI drug... on children. Their kidneys were lost within days this was no big
deal because new.. NCI drugs are given out with literally no safeguards for people
who will receive them." Having killed one child too many for litigatory safety, the
cancer boys finally decided to tone down the assault: this led, inevitably, to longer
survival times, which became "great progress in childhood cancer treatment."

Whilst any reduction at all in the level of the drug/radiation lunacy is to be greatly
welcomed, the idea that these longer survival times were due to anything other
than less lethal treatments is merely wishful thinking. The Autumn 1997 edition of
the Leukaemia Research News reported the views of some LRF scientists - " The
intensive therapy given to children to ensure their survival (!)...can result in longer
term side effects such as intellectual impairment, heart damage, growth disorders
and even second cancers." When the second cancers - first cancers if the original
leukaemia diagnosis was wrong - and the treatment, kill the patient, the original
"leukaemia cure" still stands in the statistics - cured and dead.

**CANCER RESEARCH – ULTIMATE FRAUD**

"... still the money pours in for 'Cancer Research' from kind-hearted, well-meaning
people in spite of the fact that the much-advertised 'cures' and 'discoveries'
invariably turn out, year after year, to be no cure at all but rather an aggravation of
the trouble, the proof of this being the steady increase of the disease on all sides...

Surely there should be some result to show as a partial excuse for the torturing of
so many animals... the public still does not realise that nothing is likely to happen,
Alaska, Mexico, Hawaii, the Central Zone and elsewhere. We find as a fact that the Hoxsey Cancer Clinic in Dallas, Texas, is successfully treating pathologically proven cases of cancer, both internal and external, without the use of surgery, radium or X-ray...

Some of those presented before us have been free of symptoms as long as twenty four years and the physical evidence indicates that they are all enjoying exceptional health at this time... We are willing to assist this clinic in any possible way in bringing this treatment to the American public. We are willing to use it... on our own patients.

The above statement represents the unanimous findings of this Committee. In testimony, thereof, we hereby attach our signatures.


After the endorsement of the ten independent, medically qualified doctors, a group of AMA medical businessmen offered to buy the formula from Hoxsey, who agreed, providing that his promise to his father, to always treat patients who could not afford to pay, was in the deal. His doctors would have none of this and the campaign to brand Hoxsey as a quack was born: later, in the same year as the doctors' report, the Journal of the American Medical Association conducted an investigation "from behind our desk" which concluded that the remedy was a "cough medicine... without any therapeutic merit in the treatment of cancer."

Repeated attempts by Hoxsey to have the therapy evaluated were refused by the cancer industry, whilst the media and political battle raged for decades.

One assistant District Attorney arrested Hoxsey over one hundred times within two years: Hoxsey merely paid the bail and returned to the clinic. The official changed sides when his brother was successfully treated for terminal cancer at the Hoxsey clinic.

The campaign against Hoxsey vicious even by AMA standards, was aided by the Hearst newspaper empire which published a feature that was, in Hoxsey's words "...taken up by a lurid drawing, in colour, of a poor woman on a couch of pain, surrounded by sorrowing children and a mournful dog. She was handing out her last few dollars to a beaming caricature of a quack, decked out in a swallow-tail coat, razor­sharp trousers, fancy scarf and a big diamond stick­pin and white spats." The text began "All the other wicked medical fakes, firing hope and coat, razor­sharp trousers, fancy scarf and a big diamond stick­pin and white spats." The text began "All the other wicked medical fakes, firing hope and coat, razor­sharp trousers, fancy scarf and a big diamond stick­pin and white spats." The text began... the campaign to have the therapy clinically­tested... I sent the paper to three cancer journals and three blood journals. It was refused by all... Two... without even reading it... I cannot help wondering how many lives could have been saved and how much suffering could have been avoided had the editors... permitted publication. " Dr. Irwin Stone.

Dr. Stone could have hardly expected the fraudsters of the multi­billion dollar/pound "Leukaemia Research" empire to abandon their solid gold source and admit that the disease responded to a simple, inexpensive, non­patentable regime of vitamin C or fruit and vegetable juices allied to simple herbs: as was demonstrated by Rudolf Breuss [29] and others.

Nor are the pseudo­researchers interested in pointing the finger at the deluge of cancer­causing synthetics coming from their friends in other vivisection laboratories. The antibiotic chloramphenicol (Chloromycetin) "...was tried out for long periods on dogs and found to produce only a transient anaemia, but fatal results have followed its use in human disease. [31] "... even one capsule of Chloromycetin could cause... leukaemia... I remember a child dying of aplastic anaemia after a general practitioner had prescribed Chloromycetin for a cold... For a cold - a virus infection!... The parents were crying, the kid was bleeding. I was warned by several physicians that grave consequences would befall me if I told the parents that their beautiful child was dying because of a doctor's mindless prescription."

Lasko - The Great Billion Dollar Medical Swindle.

Not content with merely keeping quiet about the carcinogens pouring from the medical/petrochemical industry, the "Imperial Cancer Research Fund" went out of their way to protect their close brethren in the cancer machine "One of the biggest myths in recent years is that there is a cancer epidemic caused by exposure to common to all mankind, therefore chronic hypo­ ascorbemia is now our most prevalent disease...

It is possible that the chronic sub­clinical scurvy, existing in the victim since infancy, may be a factor in initiating the leukaemia...

It is a hard clinical fact that leukaemias have pathologically low levels of ascorbic acid in their blood plasma... what kills most leukaemias is... haemorrhage and infection... Both... are pathognomonic symptoms of scurvy. In the one case where complete remission was achieved and maintained in myelogenous leukaemia, the patient took 24 to 42 grams of ascorbic acid per day...

It is inconceivable that no-one appears to have followed this up... in any of the thousands of cases of leukaemia which appear each year.

What is wrong with the public­supported charities for cancer and leukaemia... ?... without the scurvy, leukaemia may be a relatively benign, non­fatal condition...

I wrote... a paper... in attempt to have the therapy clinically­tested... I sent the paper to three cancer journals and three blood journals. It was refused by all... Two... without even reading it... I cannot help wondering how many lives could have been saved and how much suffering could have been avoided had the editors... permitted publication. " Dr. Irwin Stone.

Dr. Stone could have hardly expected the fraudsters of the multi­billion dollar/pound "Leukaemia Research" empire to abandon their solid gold source and admit that the disease responded to a simple, inexpensive, non­patentable regime of vitamin C or fruit and vegetable juices allied to simple herbs: as was demonstrated by Rudolf Breuss [29] and others.

Nor are the pseudo­researchers interested in pointing the finger at the deluge of cancer­causing synthetics coming from their friends in other vivisection laboratories. The antibiotic chloramphenicol (Chloromycetin) "...was tried out for long periods on dogs and found to produce only a transient anaemia, but fatal results have followed its use in human disease. [31] "... even one capsule of Chloromycetin could cause... leukaemia... I remember a child dying of aplastic anaemia after a general practitioner had prescribed Chloromycetin for a cold... For a cold - a virus infection!... The parents were crying, the kid was bleeding. I was warned by several physicians that grave consequences would befall me if I told the parents that their beautiful child was dying because of a doctor's mindless prescription."

Lasko - The Great Billion Dollar Medical Swindle.

Not content with merely keeping quiet about the carcinogens pouring from the medical/petrochemical industry, the "Imperial Cancer Research Fund" went out of their way to protect their close brethren in the cancer machine "One of the biggest myths in recent years is that there is a cancer epidemic caused by exposure to